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## ABSTRACT.- Decay estimates for the energy are derived for a linear hyperbolic equation with time - dependent coefficients.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega$ a bounded domain in $\Re^{N}(N \geq 1)$ having a smooth boundary $\Gamma=\partial \Omega$. We fix $x_{0} \in R^{N}$. Let $a(x, t)$ be a nonnegative bounded function.
Let us consider the following linear hyperbolic equation.

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
K(x, t) u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+\mathrm{a}(x, t) u^{\prime}=0 & \text { in } Q=\Omega \times] 0, T[  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0 & \text { on } \Sigma=\Gamma \times] 0, T[ \\
u(0)=u_{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1} & \text { on } \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Under the assumptions that $K(x, t) \geq h_{0}>0, \forall(x, t) \in Q$ and

$$
a(x, t)-\left|\frac{\partial K}{\partial t}(x, t)\right| \geq \varepsilon_{0}>0, \forall \times \in \omega
$$

Where $\omega$ is a neighborhood of $\overline{\Gamma_{0}}$,

$$
\Gamma_{0}=\{x \in \Gamma: m(x) \cdot v(x) \geq 0\}
$$

being $v(x)$ the unit outward normal at $x \in \Gamma$ and $\mathrm{m}(x)=x-x_{0}$, we prove the exponential decay of weak solutions to problem (1.1).
The case $K(x, t)=1$ has been studied by many authors: M. Nakao [6]. L.R. Tcheougoné Tebou [7], P. Martínez [5]. Motived by the above results the authors of the present work investigated the case with time dependent coefficients.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The function spaces we use are all standard and the definition of them is unified. We shall denote the following

$$
\begin{gathered}
(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} u(x) v(x) d x,|u|^{2}=\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{2} d x \\
u^{\prime}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \text { and } R=\max _{x \in \Omega}\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us state the general hypothesis
(A.1) $K \in W^{1, \infty}\left(0, \infty ; C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})\right), K^{\prime} \in L^{\prime}\left(0, \infty, L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)$

$$
K(x, t) \geq h_{0}>0, \forall(x, t) \in Q
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a \in W^{1, \infty}\left(0, \infty ; C^{-1}(\bar{\Omega})\right) \cap W^{2, \infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{A.2}\\
& a \in L^{1}\left(0, \infty ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right), a(x, t) \geq a_{0}>0 \text { in } Q
\end{align*}
$$

(A.3) $\quad a(x, t)-\left|K^{\prime}(x, t)\right| \geq \varepsilon_{0}>0, \forall \times \in \omega$

We use the following well known lemma without the proof in this paper.
Lemma 2.1.- (Haraux [2]) Let $E:[0, \infty[\rightarrow[0, \infty[$ be a nonincreasing locally absolutely continous funtion such that there are nonnegative constants $\beta$ and $A$ with

$$
\int_{s}^{\infty} E(t)^{\beta+1} d t \leq A E(S), \forall S \geq 0
$$

Then we have

$$
E(t) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E(0) e^{1-\frac{t}{A}}, \forall t \geq 0, \text { if } \beta=0 \\
{\left[A\left(1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\right]^{1 / \beta} t^{1 / \beta}, \text { if } \beta>0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 3. STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

In this section, we state main theorems.

## Theorem 3.1

Let $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$ and $u_{1} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Under assumptions (A.1) - (A.3), for each $T>0$, the problem (1.1) admits a unique strong solution

$$
u: \Omega \times] 0, T[\rightarrow R \text { such that }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1} \cap H^{2}\right), u^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right), u^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we present a result on stability of strong solutions wich will be extended to weak solutions.

## Theorem 3.2

Let us consider the energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}|\sqrt{K} u(t)|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u(t)|^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under hypothesis of theorem 3.1, with $\nabla K . \mathrm{m} \geq 0 \forall x \in \Omega$ the energy (3.2) determined by the strong solutions $u$ decays exponentially, that is for some constants $c>0$ and $r>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq C \quad E(0) e^{-\gamma t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof

First we remark that is sufficient to prove the estimate (3.3) where the initial conditions verify

$$
\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}\right\} \in\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Then an easy density argument give the result for all initial condition in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$

We verify that (1.1) is a dissipative problem.

## Theorem 3.3

Suppose that $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and that assumptions (A1), (A2) hold. Then (1.1) has a unique weak solution $\mathrm{u}: \Omega \times] 0, T[\rightarrow R$ in the space

$$
C\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

Furthermore, theorem (3.2) holds for the weak solution $u$.

## Lemma 3.1.-

$$
\forall 0 \leq S<T<\infty: E(S)-E(T)=-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(a-\frac{1}{2} K^{\prime}\right)\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x d s
$$

## Proof.-

We multiply (1.1) by $u^{\prime}$ and we integrate by parts on $\Omega \times[S, T]$, observing first that

$$
\left(K u^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left|\sqrt{K} u^{\prime}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} K^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x
$$

We obtain

$$
\int_{S}^{T}\left(K u u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u, u^{\prime}\right) d s=\left.\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\sqrt{K} u^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right|_{S} ^{T}+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(a-\frac{1}{2} K^{\prime}\right)\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x d s
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(T)-E(S)=-\int_{S}^{T}\left(\left(a-\frac{1}{2} K^{\prime}\right),\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) d s \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark.- We deduce from (3.4) and (A.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T}\left(\left(a-K^{\prime}\right), u^{\prime 2}\right) d s \leq E(S)-E(T) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2.- Let $q \in\left[\mathrm{~W}^{1, \infty}(\Omega)\right] \cap \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\zeta \in \mathrm{W}^{1, \infty}(\Omega)$. We have the identities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left(k u^{\prime}, 2 q \cdot \nabla u+\alpha u\right)\right|_{S} ^{T}+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}(d i v q-\alpha)\left\{|\sqrt{K} u|^{2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right\} d x d t+  \tag{3.6}\\
& +\int_{S}^{T}\left(\left(a-K^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime}, 2 q \cdot \nabla u+\alpha u\right) d t+\int_{S}^{T}\left(\nabla K \cdot q, u^{\prime 2}\right) d t \\
& +2 \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial q_{K}}{\partial x_{J}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{J}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{J}} d x d t=\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} q \cdot v\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}\right)^{2} d \Gamma d t \\
& \left.\left(K u^{\prime}, u\right)\right|_{S} ^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \zeta\left\{\left|\sqrt{K} u^{\prime}\right|^{2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right\} d x d t+ \\
& +\int_{S}^{T}(u \nabla u, \nabla \zeta) d t+\int_{S}^{T}\left(\left(a-K^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime}, \zeta u\right) d t=0 . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is based on standard multipliers technique. The interested reader should refer to Lions [4] or Komornik [3].
Throughout the remaining part of this work positive constants will be denoted by $C$ and will change from line to line.
In order to prove (3.3) we proceed in two steps.
Step 1. Appliying (3.5) with $q(x)=m(x), \alpha=n-1$ observing that $\operatorname{div}(m)=N$ and using (3.2) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(K u^{\prime}, 2 m \cdot \nabla u+(N-1) u\right)\right|_{S} ^{T}+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\sqrt{K} u^{\prime}\right|^{2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t \\
& +\int_{S}^{T}\left(\left(a-K^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime}, 2 m \cdot \nabla u+(N-1) u\right) d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \nabla K \cdot m\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x d t \\
& +2 \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t=\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} m \cdot v\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}\right)^{2} d \Gamma d t
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t=-\left(K u^{\prime}, 2 m \cdot \nabla u+(N-1) u\right)_{S}^{T}- \\
& \quad-\int_{S}^{T}\left(\left(a-K^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime}, 2 m \cdot \nabla u+(N-1) u\right) d t \\
& \quad-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}(\nabla K \cdot m) u^{\prime 2} d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} m \cdot v\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}\right)^{2} d \Gamma d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the energy is nonincreasing, using the result of komornik [3], we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|-\left(K u^{\prime}, 2 m \cdot \nabla u+(N-1) u\right)\right|_{S}^{T} \mid \leq C E(s)  \tag{3.9}\\
& \left|-\int_{S}^{T}\left(\left(a-K^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime}, 2 m \cdot \nabla u+(N-1) u\right) d t\right| \leq C \int_{S}^{T}\left|E^{\prime}(t)\right|^{1 / 2}\left|E^{1 / 2}(t)\right| d t  \tag{3.10}\\
& \\
& \leq c E(S)+\varepsilon \int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t, \quad \varepsilon>0
\end{align*}
$$

If follows from (3.8) - (3.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t \leq C E(S)+R \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{0}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}\right)^{2} d \Gamma d t \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate the last term in (3.11) we utilize (3.7) with $\zeta=\eta$ where $\eta \in \mathrm{W}^{1, \infty}(\Omega)$ is a function that

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq \eta \leq 1 \\
& \eta=1 \text { in } \hat{\omega} \\
& \eta=0 \text { in } \Omega \backslash \omega
\end{aligned} \quad\right. ; \frac{|\nabla \eta|^{2}}{\eta} \in L^{\infty}(\omega)
$$

and $\hat{\omega}$ is open set in $\Omega$ with $\Gamma_{0} \subseteq \hat{\omega} \varsubsetneqq \omega$. First we have from (3.7)

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \eta|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t & =\left.\left(K u^{\prime}, \eta u\right)\right|_{S} ^{T}-\int_{S}^{T}\left(\left(a-K^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime}, \eta u\right) d t  \tag{3.12}\\
& +\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \eta\left|\sqrt{K} u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t-\int_{S}^{T}(u \nabla u, \nabla \eta) d t
\end{align*}
$$

Simple calculations, using Young's ineguality show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|-\left(K u^{\prime}, \eta u\right)\right|_{S}^{T} \leq C E(S)  \tag{3.13}\\
& \mid-\int_{S}^{T}\left(\left(a-K^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{u}^{\prime}, \eta u\right) d t \leq C E(S)+\varepsilon \int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t \quad, \quad \varepsilon>0  \tag{3.14}\\
& \left|-\int_{S}^{T}(u \nabla u, \nabla \eta) d t\right| \leq C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega}|u|^{2} d t d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}^{T} \eta|\nabla u|^{2} \in d x d t  \tag{3.15}\\
& \left.\left.\left|\int_{S}^{T} \eta \int_{\Omega} n\right| \sqrt{K} u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t\left|\leq C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega}\right| u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Reporting (3.13) - (3.16) in (3.12), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \eta\left|\nabla u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d t d x & \leq C E(S)+C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{w}\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}+|u|^{2}\right) d x d t+  \tag{3.17}\\
& +\varepsilon \int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t, \quad \varepsilon>0
\end{align*}
$$

Step 2.- We take a vector field $h \in\left[W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)\right]^{N}$ such that

$$
h=v \text { on } \Gamma_{0}, h . v \geq 0 \text { on } \Gamma \text { and } \mathrm{h}=0 \text { on } \Omega \backslash \hat{w}
$$

Choosing $\alpha=0$ and $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{h}$ in (3.6), we easily deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{T_{0}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}\right)^{2} d \Gamma d t \leq C\left(E(S)+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\hat{\omega}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t+\varepsilon \int_{S}^{T} E(s) d t \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

combining (3.18) and (3.17), we have $\forall \varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{0}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}\right)^{2} d \Gamma d t \leq C\left(E(S)+c \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t+\varepsilon \int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t \quad, \quad \forall \varepsilon>0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude that (3.19) and (3.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t \leq C E(S)+C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\hat{\omega}}\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now in under to absorb the last theorem of the right hand side of (3.20) we adapt a method introduced in Conrad and Rao [ 1 ]. To this end, we consider $z(t) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ solution of

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
-\Delta z=x(\omega) u \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
z=0 & \text { on } \Gamma
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x(\omega)$ is the characteristic function of $\omega$.

It is easy to verify that $z^{\prime}$ is solution of the problem

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
-\Delta z^{\prime} & =x(\omega) u^{\prime} & & \text { in } \Omega \\
z^{\prime} & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

A simple computations gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& |z| \leq C|u|_{L^{2}(u)}  \tag{3.21}\\
& \left|z^{\prime}\right| \leq C\left|u^{\prime}\right|_{L^{2}(w)}  \tag{3.22}\\
& (\nabla z, \nabla u)=|u|_{L^{2}(w)} \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we multiply (1.1) by $z$, integrate by parts on $\Omega \times] S, T$ [ and use (3.23), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{w}|u|^{2} d x d t=-\left.\left(K u^{\prime}, z\right)\right|_{S} ^{T}-\int_{S}^{T}\left(\left(a-K^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime}, z\right) d t+\int_{S}^{T}\left(K u^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) d t \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(K u^{\prime}, z\right)\right| \leq C E(S) \\
& \left|\int_{S}^{T}\left(\left(a-K^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime}, z\right) d t\right| \leq C E(S)+\varepsilon \int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t \quad, \quad \varepsilon>0 \\
& \left|\int_{S}^{T}\left(K u^{\prime}, z\right) d t\right| \leq C \int_{S}^{T} \int\left|u_{w}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\varepsilon_{1} \int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t \quad, \quad \varepsilon_{1}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce from the last three estimates and (3.24) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \leq C E(S)+\varepsilon \int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t+C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{w}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (3.25) into (3.20) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E(t) \leq C E(S)+C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{w}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to (A.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega}\left(\mathrm{a}-\frac{1}{2} K^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime 2} d x d t \leq C E(S) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally the application of lemma 2.1 implies (3.3). .
The proof of theorem 3.2 is completed.
Remark.- The proof of theorem (3.3) is achieved using a density argument.
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