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Resumen.- En este artículo estudiamos la existencia de soluciones generalizadas para un sistema hiperbólico no lineal con términos discontinuos multi-valuados y términos de amortiguamiento de segundo orden en la frontera.

Palabras claves: Sistemas hiperbólicos no lineales, inclusión diferenciable, amortiguamiento en la frontera, Faedo-Galerkin.

ON THE SOLUTIONS OF A HYPERBOLIC NONLINEAR SYSTEM WITH BOUNDARY DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSION AND NONLINEAR SECOND ORDER DAMPING OVER THE BOUNDARY

Abstract.- In this paper we study the existence of generalized solutions for a hyperbolic nonlinear system with a discontinuous multi-valued term and nonlinear second-order damping terms on the boundary.
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1. Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the initial boundary value problem for a hyperbolic nonlinear system with differential inclusion on the boundary.

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddot{u} - \Delta u' - M(\|\nabla u\|^2) \Delta u + u^3 &= f & \text{in } (x, t) \in Q = \Omega \times (0, T) \\
n(x, 0) = u'(x, 0) = 0 & \quad & \text{in } x \in \Omega \\
u &= 0 & \quad & \text{on } \Sigma_0 = \Gamma_0 \times (0, T) \\
\frac{\partial u'}{\partial n} + M(\|\nabla u\|^2) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + K(u)u'' + |u'|^p u' + \Xi &= 0 & \quad & \text{on } \Sigma_1 = \Gamma_1 \times (0, T) \\
\Xi(x, t) &\in \varphi(u'(x, t)) & \quad & \text{a.e. } (x, t) \in \Sigma_1 = \Gamma_1 \times (0, T)
\end{align*}
\]
Where \( \Omega \) is a bounded open set of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) (\( n \geq 3 \)) with sufficiently smooth boundary \( \Gamma = \partial \Omega \) such that \( \Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1 \), \( \Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_1 = \emptyset \) and \( \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \) have positive measures, \( p \in (1, +\infty) \), \( M(s) \) is a \( C^1 \) class such that \( M(s) > m_0 > 0 \) for some constant \( m_0 \), \( K(s) \) is a continuously differentiable positive function \( \nabla u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}, \| \nabla u \|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}^2 dx \), \( v \) is the outward unit normal vector on \( \Gamma \), \( \varphi \) is a discontinuous and nonlinear set valued mapping and \( T \) is a positive real number, \( u^3 \) is a nonlinear term. The precise hypothesis on the above system will be given in the next section.

The background of these problems is in physics, especially in solid mechanics, where non-monotone and multi-valued constitutive laws lead to differential inclusion. For a brief account of the works on such variational inequalities we refer the reader to \([3,4,5]\). Motivated the results of \([1]\), in this paper we study the existence of solutions of the variational inequalities (1.1). It is important to observe that as far as we are concerned it has never been considered differential inclusion acting on the boundary in the literature. The plan of this paper is as follow. In section 2, the assumptions and the main results are given. In section 3, the existence of a solution to problem (1.1) is proved.

2. Assumptions and main results

Throughout this paper we denote

\[
H_1(\Omega) = \{ u \in H^1(\Omega); u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \}
\]

\[
(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x)dx
\]

\[
(u,v)_{\Gamma} = \int_{\Gamma} u(x)v(x)d\Gamma
\]

\[
\| u \|_{p,\Gamma_1} = \left( \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(x)|^p d\Gamma \right)^{1/p}
\]

For simplicity, we denote \( \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \) and \( \| \cdot \|_{2,\Gamma_1} \) by \( \| \cdot \| \) and \( \| \cdot \|_{\Gamma_1} \) respectively. We formulate the following assumptions:

(A1) \( K(s) \) is a continuous real function satisfying the conditions

\[
0 < K_0 \leq K(s) \leq K_1 (1 + |s|^p)
\]

(2.1)

\[
0 \leq |K'(s)|^{\frac{p}{r-1}} \leq K_2 (1 + K(s))
\]

(2.2)

For some \( K_0, K_1, K_2 > 0 \)
(A2) $b : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a locally bounded function satisfying

$$|b(s)| \leq \mu_1 (1 + |s|) ; \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$$

for some $\mu_1 > 0$.

The multi-valued function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is obtained by filling in jumps of a function $b : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by means of the functions $b_{\varepsilon}, \overline{b}_{\varepsilon}, \overline{b} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$b_{\varepsilon}(t) = \text{ess inf}_{|s-t| \leq \varepsilon} \{b(s)\} \quad \text{ess sup}_{|s-t| \leq \varepsilon} \{b(s)\} = \overline{b}_{\varepsilon}(t)$$

$$b(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} b_{\varepsilon}(t), \quad \overline{b}(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \overline{b}_{\varepsilon}(t), \varphi(t) = [b(t), \overline{b}(t)]$$

We shall use the regularization for $b$ defined by

$$b^m(t) = \frac{m}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b(t - \tau) \rho(m\tau) d\tau$$

Where $\rho \in C_0^{\infty}((-1, 1))$, $\rho \geq 0$ and $\int_{-1}^{1} \rho(\tau) = 1$.

**Remark 2.1** It is easy to show that $b^m$ is continuous for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and that $b_{\varepsilon}, \overline{b}_{\varepsilon}, b, \overline{b}$, $b^m$ satisfy condition (A2) with a possibly different constant when $b$ satisfies (A2).

**Definition:** A function $u(x, t)$ such that

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; H_1(\Omega))$$
$$u' \in L^2(0, T; H_1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^{p+2}(\Gamma_1))$$
$$u'' \in L^2(0, T; H_2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(\Gamma_1)$$

Is a generalized solution to (1.1) if exists $\Xi \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_1))$ and for any functions
$v \in W = H_1(\Omega) \cap L^{p+2}(\Gamma_1)$ and $\psi \in C^1(0, T)$ with $\psi(T) = 0$ the relations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_0^T \left\{ (u'', v) + (\nabla u', \nabla v) + M (\| \nabla u \|^2) (\nabla u, \nabla v) + \\
+ (|u|^p u' - K'(u)(u')^2 + \Xi, v)_{\Gamma_1} \right\} \psi(t) dt \\
+ \int_0^T (K(u)u^2, v)_{\Gamma_1} \psi'(t) dt + \\
+ \int_0^T (u^3(t), u''(t)) \psi(t) = \int_0^T (f, v) \psi(t) dt
\end{align*}
$$

(2.4)

$$
\Xi(x, t) \in \varphi(u'(x, t)) \text{ a.e. } (x, t) \in \Sigma_1
$$

(2.5)

Now we are in the position to state our existence result.

**Theorem:** Assume que (A1) and (A2) hold and $f \in L^2(0, T; H_1(\Omega))$. Then, for all $T > 0$ there exist a generalized solution to problem (1.1).

3. Proof of the main theorem

In this section we are going to show the existence of solution for problem (1.1) using the Faedo-Galerkin’s approximation. For this, we represent by $\{w_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ a base in $W = H_1(\Omega) \cap L^{p+2}(\Gamma_1)$. Let $W_m = \{\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m\}\}$ subspace generate by the $m$ first vectors of the base.

We consider $u_m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} g_j(t) w_j$; the solution of the problem approaching of Cauchy:

$$
\begin{align*}
(u_m(t), w_j) + (\nabla u_m', \nabla w_j) + M (|\nabla u_m|^2) (\nabla u_m, \nabla w_j) + \\
(K(u_m)u'_m + |u|^p u'_m + b^m(u'_m), w_j)_{\Gamma_1} + (u^3_m, w_j) = (f(t), w_j); \forall w_j \in W_m
\end{align*}
$$

(3.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
u_m(0) = u'_m(0) = 0
\end{align*}
$$

(3.2)

By the theorem of Carathecody, the, approximate system (3.1) and (3.2) has solutions $u_m(t)$ in $[0, t_m]$, to see [6].

The extension of these solutions to the whole interval $[0, T]$ is a consequence of the priori estimate which we are going to prove below.
STEP 1: A PRIORI ESTIMATE

Multiplying (3.1) by $g_j m(t)$ and summing from $j = 1$ to $j = m$, and definition of $u_m$, we get.

$$(u_m(t), u_m'(t)) + (\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m'(t)) + M(\|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2)(\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m'(t)) +

(K(u_m(t)))u_m''(t) + |u_m'(t)|^p u_m'(t) + b^m(u_m'(t), u_m'(t)) + (u_m'(t), u_m'(t)) = (f(t), u_m'(t))$$

From where we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left\{ \left\| u_m'(t) \right\|^2 + M(\|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2) + \int_{\Gamma_1} K(u_m(x,t))(u_m'(x,t))^2 d\Gamma + \frac{1}{2} \| u_m(t) \|^4 \right\} +

+ \int_{\Gamma_1} b^m(u_m'(x,t))u_m'(x,t) d\Gamma + \left\| \nabla u_m'(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| u_m'(t) \right\|^{p+2} +

+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} K'(u_m(x,t))(u_m'(x,t))^3 d\Gamma = (f(t), u_m'(t))$$

Where $M(s) = \int_0^s M(r) dr$.

Therefore, integrating over $(0, t)$ and $u_m(0) = u_m'(0) = 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left\| u_m'(t) \right\|^2 + M(\|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \| u_m(t) \|^4 \right\} +

\int_{\Gamma_1}^t \left\| \nabla u_m'(s) \right\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} b^m(u_m'(x,s))u_m'(x,s) d\Gamma ds -

+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} K'(u_m(x,s))(u_m'(x,s))^3 d\Gamma ds = \int_0^t (f(s), u_m'(s)) ds$$

(3.3)
For the condition of (A2) we have

\[
\left\lVert b^m(u_m'(t)) \right\rVert_{\Gamma_1}^2 = \int_{\Gamma_1} (b^m(u_m'(x,t)))^2 d\Gamma \\
\leq \int_{\Gamma_1} c_1 (1 + u_m'(x,t))^2 d\Gamma \\
\leq 2c_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} c_1 (1 + |u_m'(x,t)|^2) d\Gamma \\
\leq c_2 + 2c_1 \left\lVert u_m'(t) \right\rVert_{\Gamma_1}^2
\]  

(3.4)

From (3.4) and by the Holder’s inequality

\[
\left| \int_0^t \left\lVert b^m(u_m'(s), u_m'(s)) \right\rVert_{\Gamma_1} ds \right| \\
\leq \left( \int_0^t \left\lVert b^m(u_m'(s)) \right\rVert_{\Gamma_1}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^t \left\lVert u_m'(s) \right\rVert_{\Gamma_1}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\
\leq \left( \int_0^t (c_2 + 2c_1 \left\lVert u_m'(s) \right\rVert_{\Gamma_1}^2) ds \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^t \left\lVert u_m'(s) \right\rVert_{\Gamma_1}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\
\leq c_3 \left( 1 + \int_0^t \left\lVert u_m'(s) \right\rVert_{\Gamma_1}^2 ds \right)^{1/2}
\]  

(3.5)

Let us observe that, by Young’s inequality

\[
\int_0^t \left\lVert u_m'(s) \right\rVert_{p+2, \Gamma_1}^{p+2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} K'(u_m(s))(u_m'(s))^3 d\Gamma \right\} ds \\
\leq \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_m'(s)|^2 \left\{ |u_m(s)|^p - \epsilon |u_m(s)|^p - c(\epsilon)|K'(u_m(s))|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \right\} d\Gamma ds
\]  

(3.6)

Also we notice that,

\[
\int_0^t |f(s)||u_m'(s)| ds \leq \int_0^t \|f(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \left\lVert u_m'(s) \right\rVert_{\Gamma_1}^2 ds
\]  

(3.7)
From (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|u''(t)\|_2 + \bar{M}(\|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2) + \frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_4^4 + \int_{\Gamma_1} K(u(t))(u''(t))^2 d\Gamma \right\} +
$$

$$+
\int_0^t \|\nabla u''(s)\|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u''(s)|^{p+2} d\Gamma ds
$$

(3.8)

$$
\leq c(\varepsilon) \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u''(s)|^2 |K'(u(s))|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} d\Gamma ds
$$

$$
\leq c_3 \left( 1 + \int_0^t \|u''(s)\|_{\Gamma_1} ds \right) + \int_0^t \|f(s)\|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t \|u''(s)\|_2^2 ds
$$

On the other hand, we observe that:

$$
K(u) \geq c_0 (1 + K(u)) \text{ where } 2c_0 = \min\{1, K_0\}
$$

from where

$$
\int_{\Gamma_1} |u''(t)|^2 K'(u(t)) d\Gamma \geq c_0 \int_{\Gamma_1} |u''(t)|^2 (1 + K(u(t))) d\Gamma
$$

(3.9)

Also, from (2.2)

$$
|K'(s)|_{p-1}^p \leq K_2 (1 + K(s))
$$

Then

$$
c(\varepsilon) \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u''(x,s)|^2 |K'(u(x,s))|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} d\Gamma ds \leq
$$

$$
\leq c(\varepsilon) K_2 \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u''(x,s)|^2 (1 + K(u(x,s))) d\Gamma ds
$$

(3.10)
From (3.9) and (3.10) majority to right and left in (3.8)

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \| u_m'(t) \|^2 + M(\| \nabla u_m(t) \|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \| u_m(t) \|^4 \right\} + \\
+ c_0 \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_m'(t)|^2 [1 + K(u_m(t))] d\Gamma + \int_0^t \left\| \nabla u_m(s) \right\|^2 ds + \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \left\| u_m'(s) \right\|^{p+2}_{p+2,\Gamma_1} ds \leq \\
\leq c_0 \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_m'(x,s)|^2 [1 + K(u_m(x,s))] d\Gamma ds + \\
+ c_3 \left( 1 + \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_m'(x,s)|^2 d\Gamma ds \right) + \int_0^t \left\| f(s) \right\|^2 ds + \\
+ \int_0^t |u_m'(s)|^2 ds; \ c = c(\epsilon) + K_2
\]

(3.11)

Let us define,

\[
E_m(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \| u_m' \|^2 + M(\| \nabla u_m(t) \|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \| u_m(t) \|^4 \right\} + \\
+ c_0 \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_m'(t)|^2 [1 + K(u_m(t))] d\Gamma
\]

then, of (3.11) definition of \( E_m \) we obtain

\[
E_m(t) \leq c_4 \left( 1 + \int_0^t E_m(s) ds \right)
\]

Thus, by Gronwall's lemma, we conclude that

(3.12)

\[
E_m(t) \leq c_5; \ \forall t \in [0, T]
\]

From (3.11) and (3.12) it is obtained \( \forall t \in [0, T] \)

(3.13)

\[
\int_0^t \left\| \nabla u_m'(s) \right\|^2 ds \leq c_6, \int_{\Gamma_1} \left\| u_m'(t) \right\|^2 \ d\Gamma \leq c_r
\]

By imbedding theorem and from (3.13) we have

(3.14)

\[
\int_0^t \left\| u_m'(s) \right\|^2 ds \leq c_8
\]
Furthermore, from (3.4) and (3.14) we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad \int_0^t \left\| b^m(u'_m(s)) \right\|^2_{\Gamma_1} \, ds \leq c_9$$

Since $\overline{M}(\| \nabla u_m(t) \|^2) \geq m_0 \| \nabla u_m(t) \|^2$, by (3.12)

$$\| \nabla u_m(t) \|^2 \leq c_{10}$$

Similarly from (3.12) we obtain

$$\| u_m(t) \|^4_4 \leq c_{11}$$

From (3.17) we can say

$$(3.18) \quad (u_m) \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0,T; L^4(\Omega))$$

Applying the theorem of Aubin-Lions $B_0 = H_1(\Omega)$, $B = B_1 = L^2(\Omega)$ and $p_0 = 2 = p_1$, we can obtain a denoted subsucceision in the same way

$$\quad (3.19) \quad u^3_m \to u^3 \text{ a.e. in } Q$$

From (3.18) we conclude that $(u^3_m)$ is bounded in $L^{4/3}(Q)$ of where

$$u^3_m \to u^3 \text{ weak in } L^{4/3}(Q) = [L^4(Q)]', \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$(3.20) \quad \int_0^T (u^3_m, w_j) \psi(t) \, dt \to \int_0^T (u^3, w_j) \psi(t) \, dt, \, \psi \in C^1(0,T)$$

Multiplying (3.1) by $g'(t)$ and summing from $j = 1$ to $j = m$ and definition from $u_m(t)$, we have

$$\| u''_m(t) \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \nabla u'_m(t) \right\|^2 + M(\| \nabla u_m(t) \|^2, \frac{d}{dt}(\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u'_m(t)) -

+ M(\| \nabla u_m(t) \|^2) \left\| \nabla u'_m(t) \right\|^2 + (b^m(u'_m(t), u''_m(t)))_{\Gamma_1} + \int_{\Gamma_1} K(u_m(t))(u''_m(t))^2 \, d\Gamma +

+ \frac{1}{p+2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| u'_m(t) \right\|_{p+2,\Gamma_1}^p \int_{\Omega} u^3_m(x) u''_m(x) \, dx = (f(t), u''_m(t))$$
Integrating this equality over \((0, t)\)

\[
\int_0^t \left\| u_m''(t) \right\|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left\| \nabla u_m(s) \right\|^2 ds + \\
+ \int_0^t M(\left\| \nabla u_m(s) \right\|^2) \frac{d}{ds} (\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m(t)) ds - \\
+ \int_0^t M(\left\| \nabla u_m(s) \right\|^2) \left\| \nabla u_m'(t) \right\|^2 ds + \\
+ \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} K(u_m(s))(u_m''(s))^2 d\Gamma ds + \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} b^m(u_m'(x, s), u_m''(x, s)) d\Gamma ds + \\
+ \frac{1}{p + 2} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left\| u_m'(s) \right\|_{p+2, \Gamma_1}^{p+2} ds + \\
+ \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} u_m^3(x, s)u_m''(x, s) dx ds = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} f(x, s) dx ds
\]

(3.21)

Note that, from

\[
\int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} K(u_m(s))(u_m''(s))^2 d\Gamma ds \geq K_0 \int_0^t \left\| u_m'(s) \right\|^2_{\Gamma_1} ds
\]

(3.23)

On the other hand, we note what

\[
\frac{1}{p + 2} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left\| u_m'(s) \right\|_{p+2, \Gamma_1}^{p+2} ds = \frac{1}{p + 2} \left\| u_m'(t) \right\|_{p+2, \Gamma_1}^{p+2}
\]

and

\[
\int_0^t M(\left\| \nabla u_m(s) \right\|^2) \frac{d}{ds} (\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m'(t)) ds = M(\left\| \nabla u_m(t) \right\|^2)(\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m'(t)) - \\
2 \int_0^t M'(\left\| \nabla u_m(s) \right\|^2)(\nabla u_m(s), \nabla u_m'(s))^2 ds
\]
Next, this in (3.21)

\[
\int_0^t \left| u_m''(t) \right|^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \left| \nabla u_m'(t) \right|^2 + M\left( \left| \nabla u_m(t) \right|^2 (\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m'(t)) \right) - \\
2 \int_0^t M\left( \left| \nabla u_m(s) \right|^2 (\nabla u_m(s), \nabla u_m'(s)) \right) ds + \\
K_0 \int_0^t \left| u_m''(t) \right|^2 dt + \frac{1}{p+2} \left| u_m'(t) \right|^{p+2} \\
\leq \int_0^t M\left( \left| \nabla u_m(s) \right|^2 \right) \left| \nabla u_m'(s) \right|^2 ds - \\
+ \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} b^m(u_m'(x,s))u_m''(x,s)d\Omega ds - \\
+ \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} u_m^3(x,s)u_m''(x,s)dxds + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} f(x,s)u_m''(x,s)dxds
\]

(3.22)

By Young's inequality, and immersion $H^1_0(\Omega) \rightarrow L^4(\Omega)$:

\[
- \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} b^m(u_m'(x,s))u_m''(x,s)d\Gamma ds \leq \\
\leq c(\epsilon) \int_0^t \left| b^m(u_m'(s)) \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} ds + \epsilon \int_0^t \left| u_m''(s) \right|^2 ds - \\
+ \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} u_m''(x,s)u_m''(x,s)dxds \leq \\
\leq c \int_0^t \left| u_m(s) \right|^4 ds + \epsilon \int_0^t \left| u_m''(s) \right|^4 ds < c_12 - \\
\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} f(x,s)u_m''(x,s)dxds \leq \\
\leq \epsilon \int_0^t \left| u_m''(s) \right|^2 ds + c(\epsilon) \int_0^t \left| f(s) \right|^2 ds
\]

(3.23)

From (3.23) in (3.22) we have

\[
\int_0^t \left| u_m''(s) \right|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \left| \nabla u_m'(t) \right|^2 + K_0 \int_0^t \left| u_m''(s) \right|_{\Gamma_1}^2 ds + \\
\frac{1}{p+2} \left| u_m'(t) \right|^{p+2} \leq -M\left( \left| \nabla u_m(t) \right|^2 (\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m'(t)) \right) + \\
+ 2 \int_0^t M\left( \left| \nabla u_m(s) \right|^2 \right) \left| \nabla u_m'(s) \right|^2 ds + c(\epsilon) \int_0^t \left| b^m(u_m'(s)) \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} ds + \\
+ \epsilon \int_0^t \left| u_m''(s) \right|^2 ds + c_12 + \epsilon \int_0^t \left| u_m''(s) \right|^2 ds + c(\epsilon) \int_0^t \left| f(s) \right|^2 ds
\]

(3.24)

Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary and $M(s)$ is a $C^1$ function and from (3.13) – (3.16), (3.24), we
conclude that

\[ \int_0^T \left[ \left\| u''_m(t) \right\|^2 \, ds + \left\| \nabla u'_m(t) \right\|^2 + \int_0^T \left\| u''_m(s) \right\|^2_{\Gamma} \, ds + \left\| u'_m(t) \right\|_{p+2, \Gamma_1}^{p+2} \right] \leq c_{13} \]

Just now, from (3.13) – (3.16) and (3.24), taking into consideration that \( u|_{\Gamma_0} = 0 \), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
(u_m) & \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \\
(u'_m) & \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^{p+2}(\Gamma_1)) \\
(u''_m) & \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(\Gamma_1) \\
(b^n(u'_m)) & \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_1))
\end{align*}
\]

**STEP 2: PASSAGE TO THE LIMIT**

Multiplying (3.1) by \( \psi \in C^1(0, T) \) with \( \psi(T) = 0 \) and integrating over \( (0, T) \), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^T \left\{ (u''_m(t), w_j) + (\nabla u'_m(t), \nabla w_j) + M(\| \nabla u_m(t) \|^2)(\nabla u_m(t), \nabla w_j) + \\
(b^n(u'_m(t), w_j))_{\Gamma_1} + (|u'_m(t)|^p u'_m(t) - K'(u_m(t))(u'_m(t))^2, w_j)_{\Gamma_1} \right\} \psi(t) \, dt - \\
+ \left[ \int_0^T (K(u_m(t))u'_m(t), w_j)_{\Gamma_1} \psi'(t) \, dt + \\
+ \int_0^T (u_m^2(t), w_j) \psi(t) \, dt = \int_0^T (f(t), w_j) \psi(t) \, dt
\end{align*}
\]

From (3.25), we have subsequence (we denote by the same symbols as original sequence) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
(3.26) & \quad u_m \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \\
(3.27) & \quad u'_m \rightharpoonup u' \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^{p+2}(\Gamma_1)) \\
(3.28) & \quad u''_m \rightharpoonup u'' \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(\Gamma_1) \\
(3.29) & \quad b^n(u'_m) \rightharpoonup \Xi \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_1))
\end{align*}
\]
From (3.27) – (3.29), considering that imbedding $H_1(\Omega) \to L^2(\Gamma_1)$ is continuous and compact and using Aubing compactness theorem [2], we have

$$
|u_m'|^p u_m', \ K(u_m)u_m', \ K'(u_m)u_m'^2 \in L^q(\Sigma_1), \ q = \frac{p+2}{p+1} > 1
$$

(3.30)

$$
u_m \to u \text{ a.e. on } \Sigma_1 \text{ and } u_m' \to u' \text{ a.e. on } \Sigma_1
$$

(3.31)

Therefore,

$$
\begin{cases}
|u_m'|^p u_m' \to |u'|^p u', \ K(u_m)u_m' \to K(u)u' \\
K'(u_m)u_m'^2 \to K'(u)(u')^2
\end{cases}
\text{ a.e. on } \Sigma_1
$$

(3.32)

**STEP 3:** $(u, \Xi)$ IS A SOLUTION OF (1.1)

Letting $m$ tend to infinity in (3.25) and using (3.27) – (3.32) and (3.20)

$$
\int_0^T \left\{ (\psi'(t), w_j) + (\psi(t), \nabla w_j) + M(\|\psi(t)\|_2^2)(\nabla \psi(t), \nabla w_j) + \\
+ (\Xi(t), w_j)_{\Gamma_1} + (|u'(t)|^p u'(t) - K'(u(t))(u'(t))^2, w_j)_{\Gamma_1} \right\} \psi(t) dt - \\
+ \int_0^T (K(u(t)), u'(t), w_j) \psi(t) dt + \int_0^T (u^3(t), w_j) \psi(t) dt = \int_0^T (f(t), w_j) \psi(t) dt
$$

Since $\{w_j\}$ is dense in $H_1(\Omega) \cap L^{p+2}(\Gamma)$, we conclude that (2.4) hold.

Only it remains to show (2.5), i.e., $(\Xi(x,t)) \in \varphi(u'(x,t))$ a.e. $(x,t) \in \Sigma_1$. By the Aubin-Lions compactness Lema in [2], we get from (3.28) – (3.29) that

$$
u_m' \to u' \text{ Strongly in } L^2(0,T; L^2(\Gamma_1))
$$

This implies

$$
u_m'(x,t) \to u'(x,t) \text{ a.e. on } \Sigma_1
$$

Thus, for given $\eta > 0$, using the theorems of Lusing and Egoroff, we can choose a subset $w \subset \Sigma_1$ such that means $(w) < \eta$, $u' \in \Sigma \setminus w$ and $u_m' \to u'$ uniformly on $\Sigma \setminus w$. Thus, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $N > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ such that

$$
|u_m'(x,t) - u'(x,t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}; \quad \forall (x,t) \in \Sigma_1 \setminus w
$$
Then, if \(|u_m(x, t) - s| < \frac{1}{m}\), we have \(|u'(x, t) - s| < \epsilon\) for all \(m > N\) and \((x, t) \in \Sigma_1 \setminus w\).

Therefore,

\[
\underline{b}_c(u'(x, t)) \leq b^m(u_m(x, t)) \leq \overline{b}_c(u'(x, t)); \quad \forall w > N; \quad (x, t) \in \Sigma_1 \setminus w
\]

Sea \(\phi \in L^\infty(\Sigma_1), \phi \geq 0\), then

\[
\int_{\Sigma_1 \setminus w} \underline{b}_c(u'(x, t))\phi(x, t)d\Gamma dt \leq \int_{\Sigma_1 \setminus w} \overline{b}_c(u'(x, t))\phi(x, t)d\Gamma dt
\]

(3.33)

Letting \(m\) approach \(\infty\) in (3.33) and using (3.29), we obtain

\[
\int_{\Sigma_1 \setminus w} \underline{b}_c(u'(x, t))\phi(x, t)d\Gamma dt \leq \int_{\Sigma_1 \setminus w} \Xi(x, t)\phi(x, t)d\Gamma dt
\]

(3.34)

Letting \(\epsilon \to 0^+\) in (3.34), we infer that

\[\Xi(x, t) \in \varphi(u'(x, t)) \text{ a.e. in } \Sigma_1 \setminus w\]

and letting \(\eta \to 0^+\) we get

\[\Xi(x, t) \in \varphi(u'(x, t)) \text{ a.e. in } \Sigma_1\]

This complete the proof.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The technique used to find the solution to the generalized system (4.1), it is quite usual, Faedo-Galerkin method and results of Compactness, so unusual is that it applies to problems with terms of Differential Inclusion. Currently, many researchers are under doomed to study differential equations with Inclusion. What would also be interesting to see is the study of asymptotic behaviour. This paper will serve as guidance for the study of equations, which may be affected with terms of Differential Inclusion on the boundary.
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