

## GLOBAL EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR THE DEGENERATE WAVE EQUATIONS OF KIRCHOFF TYPE WITH NONLINEAR DISSIPATIVE TERM OF VARIABLE COEFFICIENT

E. Cabanillas, J. Bernui & Z. Huaranga<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** *In this paper we investigate the global existence and decay of solutions to a degenerate wave equations with nonlinear dissipative term of variable coefficient.*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The objective of this paper is to study the global existence and the decay property of the nonlinear system:

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} u'' - M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta u + a(x)g(u') = 0 & \text{in } Q = \Omega \times ]0, T[ \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \Sigma = \Gamma \times ]0, T[ \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = u_1(x) & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 1$ ) with a smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ ,  $T > 0$ ,  $M(s) = s$ ,  $\forall s \geq 0$ ,  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator,  $g$  and  $a$  are functions satisfying suitable conditions.

Existence of global solutions to the system (P) has been investigated by many authors (ef. [1], [2], [4], [7], [8], etc) for different and positive constant, with a positive or non-negative function. Mochizuki [5] investigated the nondegenerate problem con dissipative term  $a(x, t)u'$ . Our purpose in this time is to prove the global existence and decay rate of solution for the case:  $M(s) = s$  and  $a(x)$  is a positive function.

---

<sup>1</sup>Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos. Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In the sequel  $L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  will denote the collection of  $L$ -functions which are  $p$ th-integrable over  $\Omega$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the space  $H^m(\Omega)$  is the Sobolev class of the functions of the spatial variable  $x$  which along with their first  $m$  derivatives belong to  $L^2(\Omega)$  (See, for example Medeiros & Milla Miranda [3]) and the closure in  $H^m(\Omega)$  of the space  $D(\Omega)$  of the test functions on  $\Omega$  is denoted by  $H_0^m(\Omega)$  the inner product and norm of  $L^2(\Omega)$  are represented by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $|\cdot|$  respectively.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $T > 0$  or  $T = +\infty$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . denote by  $L^p(0, T; X)$  the Banach space of all measurable functions  $u : ]0, T[ \rightarrow X$  such that  $t \mapsto |u(t)|_X$  is in  $L^p(0, T)$ , with norm

$$|u|_{L^p(0, T; X)} = \left( \int_0^T |u(t)|_X^p dt \right)^{1/p},$$

if  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and if  $p = \infty$ , then

$$|u|_{L^p(0, T; X)} = \text{ess sup} |u(t)|_X.$$

We use the following well-known lemmas without the proof in this paper:

**Lemma 2.1.** (Sobolev - Poincaré) *If  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  then  $u \in L^q(\Omega)$  and the inequality*

$$|u|_q \leq C_q |\nabla u|$$

*holds, where  $q$  is a number satisfying  $1 \leq q \leq \frac{2N}{N-2}$  if  $N > 2$  and  $1 \leq q < \infty$  if  $N = 2$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  if  $N = 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** (Nakao [6]) *Let  $\phi(t)$  be a nonnegative bounded function on  $[0, \infty[$  satisfying*

$$\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \phi(t)^{1+r} \leq k_0 (\phi(t) - \phi(t+1))$$

*for  $r > 0$  and  $k_0 > 0$ . Then*

$$\phi(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{r}}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0$$

*where  $C > 0$  is a positive constant depending on  $\phi(0)$  and other known constants.*

### 3. THE MAIN RESULT

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a non-decreasing continuous function such that*

$$g(0) = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

$$g'(s) \geq \tau > 0 \tag{3.2}$$

$$|g(s)| \leq C_0 |s|^q \tag{3.3}$$

$C_0$  and  $\tau$  are two positive constants and  $q > 1$  is such that  $(N - 2)q \leq N + 2$ .

The function  $a$  satisfies

$$a \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \tag{3.4}$$

$$a(x) \geq a_0 > 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \tag{3.5}$$

Let  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$  with  $u_0(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in \Omega$  and  $u_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega)$ , then exist  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  with the following property:

For each  $\{u_0, u_1\}$  satisfying

$$2 \left( \frac{|\nabla u_1|^2}{|\nabla u_0|^2} + |\Delta u_0|^2 \right) < \epsilon_0 \tag{3.6}$$

then exists only one solution  $u : \Omega \times ]0, T[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1 \cap H^2) \tag{3.7}$$

$$u' \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1) \tag{3.8}$$

$$u'' \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2) \tag{3.9}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u'(t), w) - |\nabla u(t)|^2(\Delta u(t), w) + (a(x)g(u'), w) = 0, \tag{3.10}$$

$\forall w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , in the sense of  $D'(0, T)$

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1 \tag{3.11}$$

$$|\nabla u(t)| > 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, +, \infty[ \tag{3.12}$$

**Proof.** We will use the Faedo-Galerkin method's.

We consider  $\{w_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  an orthonormal basis of  $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$  and denote by  $V_m = [w_1, \dots, w_m]$  the subspace of  $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$  spanned by the first vectors of  $\{w_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

We seek  $u_m(t)$  in the form

$$u_m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{jm}(t)w_j$$

such that, for all  $w$  in  $V_m$ ,  $u_m(t)$  satisfies the approximate equation

$$\begin{aligned} (u_m''(t), w) - (m^{-1} + |\nabla u_m(t)|^2) (\Delta u_m(t), w) \\ + (a(x)g(u_m'(t)), w) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

with the following initial conditions

$$u_m(0) = u_{0,m} \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \quad (3.14)$$

$$u_m'(0) = u_{1,m} \rightarrow u_1 \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega) \quad (3.15)$$

Using (3.3) we deduce from (3.25) that  $(g(u_{1m}))$  is bounded in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

Under these conditions, the system (3.13) - (3.15) has a local solution  $u_m(t)$  over the interval  $[0, T_m[$ . We shall see that  $u_m(t)$  can be extended for all  $t \geq 0$ .

A priori Estimative I

For  $w = 2u_m'(t)$  in (3.13) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ |u_m'(t)|^2 + m^{-1} |\nabla u_m(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_m(t)|^4 \right\} + \\ + 2 \int_{\Omega} a(x)g(u_m'(t))u_m'(t)dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Integrate in  $[0, t]$ ,  $t < T_m$ , to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u_m'(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_m(t)|^4 + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} a(x)g(u_m')u_m' dx ds \leq \\ \leq |u_1|^2 + |\nabla u_0|^2 + |\nabla u_0|^4 \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

It follows that

$$|u_m'(t)| \leq k \quad (3.17)$$

$$|\nabla u_m(t)| \leq k$$

Then we extend the approximate solution  $u_m(t)$  to the interval  $[0, T[$  for any  $0 < T < \infty$ .

From now on we denote by  $C$  various constants independent of  $m$  and  $t$  in  $[0, T[$ .

Also it follows from (3.16), (3.3) and (3.5) that

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} a(x)g(u'_m)u'_m dxdt \leq C \tag{3.18}$$

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |q(u'_m)|^{\frac{q+1}{q}} dxdt \leq C \tag{3.19}$$

A priori Estimative II

Putting  $w = -2\Delta u'_m(t)$  in (3.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \{ |\nabla u'_m(t)|^2 + (m^{-1} + |\nabla u_m(t)|^2) |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 \} + \\ & + 2(\nabla(a(x)g(u'_m)), \nabla u'_m) = \left( \frac{d}{dt} |\nabla u_m(t)|^2 \right) |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$F_m(t) = \frac{|\nabla u'_m(t)|^2}{m^{-1} + |\nabla u_m(t)|^2} + |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 = f_m(t) + |\Delta u_m(t)|^2$$

A simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} F'_m(t) &= \frac{-2(a(x)\nabla g(u'_m), \nabla u'_m) - (g(u'_m)\nabla a(x), \nabla u'_m)}{m^{-1} + |\nabla u_m(t)|^2} - \\ & - \frac{2(\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u'_m(t))|\nabla u'_m(t)|^2}{(m^{-1} + |\nabla u_m(t)|^2)^2} \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

But:

$$(a\nabla g(u'), \nabla u') = (ag'(u')\nabla u', \nabla u') \geq \tau a_0 |\nabla u'|^2 \tag{3.21}$$

and using (3.3), the Sobolev embedding and (3.4) give

$$|(g(u')\nabla a, \nabla u')| \leq C_0 C_{2q} |a|_{1,\infty} |\nabla u'|^{q+1} \tag{3.22}$$

From (3.22), (3.21), in (3.20) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
F'_m(t) &\leq -2\tau a_0 \frac{|\nabla u'_m|^2}{m^{-1} + |\nabla u_m|^2} + 2C_0 C_{2q} |a|_{1,\infty} \frac{|\nabla u'_m|^{q+1}}{m^{-1} + |\nabla u_m|^2} \\
&\quad + 2 \left[ \frac{|\nabla u'_m|^2}{m^{-1} + |\nabla u_m|^2} \right]^{3/2} \quad (3.23)
\end{aligned}$$

That is:

$$F'_m(t) \leq 2 \left[ f_m^{1/2}(t) + \delta_0 f_m^{\frac{q-1}{2}} - \delta \right] f_m(t) \quad (3.24)$$

where  $\delta_0 = C_0 C_{2q} |a|_{1,\infty} (1+k)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$ ,  $\delta = \tau a_0$ .

Integrating (3.24) from 0 to  $t$  we have

$$F_m(t) \leq 2 \int_0^t \left( f_m^{1/2}(s) + \delta_0 f_m^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(s) - \delta \right) f_m(s) ds + F_m(0) \quad (3.25)$$

Now, since  $F_m(0) \rightarrow F(0)$ , it follows of (3.6) that

$$F_m(0) < \epsilon_0 \quad (3.26)$$

for sufficiently large  $m$ .

We shall prove that

$$f_m^{1/2}(t) + \delta_0 f_m^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(t) < \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty[ \quad (3.27)$$

for  $\epsilon_0 = \min \left\{ \left( \frac{\delta}{4} \right)^2, \left( \frac{\delta}{4\delta_0} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-1}} \right\}$ . In fact

$$f_m^{1/2}(0) \leq F_m^{1/2}(0) < \epsilon_0^{1/2} \leq \frac{\delta}{4}$$

$$\delta_0 f_m^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(0) \leq \delta_0 F_m^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(0) < \delta_0 \epsilon_0^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \leq \frac{\delta}{4}$$

and thus we have

$$f_m^{1/2}(0) + \delta_0 f_m^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(0) < \frac{\delta}{2}$$

Suppose, then, that (3.27) does not hold for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Because of the continuity of  $f_m(t)$ , there is  $t^* > 0$  such that

$$f_m^{1/2}(t) + \delta_0 f_m^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(t) < \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < t^* \quad (3.28)$$

$$f_m^{1/2}(t^*) + \delta_0 f_m^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(t^*) = \frac{\delta}{2} \tag{3.29}$$

(3.25), (3.26) and (3.28) gives:

$$F_m(t^*) \leq F_m(0) < \epsilon_0$$

This inequality yields

$$f_m^{1/2}(t^*) + \delta_0 f_m^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(t^*) < \frac{\delta}{2}$$

a contradiction to (3.19). Hence (3.27) is true.

From (3.25) and (3.17) we obtain

$$F_m(t) + \delta \int_0^t \frac{|\nabla u'_m(s)|^2}{m^{-1} + |\nabla u_m(s)|^2} ds \leq C$$

wich implies

$$|\nabla u_m(t)| \leq C \tag{3.30}$$

$$\frac{|\nabla u'_m(t)|^2}{m^{-1} + |\nabla u_m(t)|^2} \leq C \tag{3.31}$$

$$\int_0^t \frac{|\nabla u'_m(s)|^2}{m^{-1} + |\nabla u_m(s)|^2} ds \leq C \tag{3.32}$$

### A priori Estimative III

Taking  $w = u''_m(t)$  in (3.13) and choosing  $t = 0$  we get

$$|u''_m(0)| \leq \left( \frac{1}{m} + |\nabla u_{0m}|^2 \right) |\Delta u_{0m}| + |a|_{1,\infty} |g(u_{1m})|$$

hence  $u''_m(0)$  is bounded in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Next, by differentiation of (3.13) and putting  $w = 2u''_m(t)$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \{ & |u''_m(t)|^2 + (m^{-1} + |\nabla u_m(t)|^2) |\nabla u'_m(t)|^2 \} + 2 \int_{\Omega} a(x) g'(u'_m) (u''_m) dx \\ &= 2 (\nabla u_m, \nabla u'_m) |\nabla u'_m(t)|^2 + 4 (\nabla u_m, \nabla u'_m) \int_{\Omega} (\Delta u_m) u''_m dx \\ &\leq |\nabla u_m| |\nabla u'_m|^3 + 4 |\nabla u_m| |\nabla u'_m| |u''_m| |\Delta u_m| \leq C + C |u''_m(t)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the priori estimatives I and II.

Integrating from 0 a  $t$  we have, using the Gronwall's Inequality:

$$|u_m''(t)| \leq C \quad (3.33)$$

Passage to the limit.

The proof is essentially included in [8]. For completeness however we shall see the convergence of dissipative term. By applying the Dunford-Pettis and Banach-Bourbaki theorems we conclude from (3.17) - (3.19), (3.30) - (3.32) and (3.33), replacing the sequence  $u_m$  with a subsequence if needed, that

$$u_m \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weak-star in } L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1 \cap H^2) \quad (3.34)$$

$$u_m' \rightharpoonup u' \quad \text{weak-star in } L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1) \quad (3.35)$$

$$u_m'' \rightharpoonup u'' \quad \text{weak-star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2) \quad (3.36)$$

$$u_m' \rightharpoonup u' \quad \text{almost every where in } Q \quad (3.37)$$

$$g(u_m') \rightharpoonup \chi \quad \text{weak in } L^{\frac{q+1}{q}}(\Omega) \quad (3.38)$$

$$|\nabla u_m|^2 \Delta u_m \rightharpoonup \psi \quad \text{weak-star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2)$$

We have to show that  $u$  is a solution of (P). We shall prove only that

$$\int_Q a(x)g(u_m')v dx dt \rightarrow \int_Q a(x)g(u')v dx dt \quad (3.39)$$

for all  $v \in L^{q+1}(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ .

In fact, from (3.18) and Fatou's Lemma  $u'g(u') \in L^1(Q)$ . This yield  $g(u') \in L^1(Q)$ . On the other hand (3.37) and the continuity of  $g$  we deduce that

$$g(u_m') \rightarrow g(u') \quad \text{a.e. in } Q$$

Let  $E \subseteq Q$  and set

$$E_1 = \{(x, t) \in E : g(u_m'(x, t)) \leq |E|^{-1/2}\}; \quad E_2 = E - E_1$$

when  $|E|$  is the measure of  $E$ .

If  $h(r) = \inf\{|x| : x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } |g(x)| \geq r\}$  then we have

$$\int_E |g(u_m')| dx dt \leq |E|^{1/2} + [h(|E|^{-1/2})]^{-1} \int_{E_2} |u_m'g(u_m')| dx dt$$

Applying (3.18) we have that



$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_E |g(u'_m(x, t))| dx dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when} \quad |E| \rightarrow 0.$$

From Vitali's convergence theorem we get

$$g(u'_m) \rightarrow g(u') \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(Q)$$

Hence we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} a(x)[g(u'_m) - g(u')] dx dt \leq |a|_{1, \infty} |g(u'_m) - g(u')|_{L^1(Q)} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

So we get that

$$a(x)g(u'_m) \rightarrow a(x)g(u') \quad \text{in} \quad L'(Q)$$

and from (3.38)

$$a(x)g(u'_m) \rightarrow a(x)g(u') \quad \text{weak-star in} \quad L^{\frac{q+1}{q}}(Q)$$

this implies (3.39).

We now prove that  $|\nabla u(t)| > 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . We need the following lemma

**Lemma.** *If  $v : [-T, T] \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$  is a weak solution of*

$$\begin{cases} v''(t) - |\nabla v(t)|^2 \Delta v(t) + a(x)g(v'(t)) = 0, & -T \leq t \leq T \\ v(0) = 0, \quad v'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

then  $v(t) = 0$ , for  $t \in [-T, T]$ .

**Proof.** Multiplying with  $2v'(t)$  we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ |v'(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v(t)|^4 \right\} + 2 \int_{\Omega} a(x)g(v'(t))v'(t) dx = 0$$

and integrating in  $[0, t]$ , using (3.2), gives

$$|v'(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v(t)|^4 \leq 2a_0 |\tau| \int_0^{|t|} |v'(s)|^2 ds$$

Gronwall's Lemma assures  $v'(t) = 0$  and  $v(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in [-T, T]$ . This concludes the proof of this lemma.  $\square$

We now turn to the proof of  $|\nabla u(t)| > 0, \forall t \geq 0$ . Suppose that there exists a number  $T > 0$  such that  $\nabla u(T) = 0$ . Since the a priori estimatives imply that  $\frac{|\nabla u'(T)|}{|\nabla u(T)|}$  is bounded, then

$$|\nabla u'(T)| \leq C|\nabla u(T)| = 0.$$

Hence, the above lemma implies that  $u(t) = 0$ , for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , which contradicts  $u_0(x) \neq 0$ .

The uniqueness is a consequence of the monotonicity of  $g$  and Gronwall's Inequality. We shall omit the proof. Since it can be obtained in a standard way.

**Theorem 3.2.** (Energy Decay) *In addition to (3.1) - (3.3), assume that*

$$g(s) \leq C_1|s| \text{ if } |s| \leq 1 \quad (3.40)$$

*then the total energy*

$$E(t) = |u'(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u(t)|^4$$

*satisfies*

$$E(t) \leq \frac{C_2}{(1+t)^2}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0$$

*where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are positive constants.*

**Proof.** Taking the scalar product of the first equation of (P) with  $2u'$  and integrating over  $\Omega$  we obtain

$$E'(t) + 2 \int_{\Omega} a(x)u'g(u')dx = 0 \quad (3.41)$$

Integrating (3.41) over  $[t, t+1]$  we get

$$2 \int_t^{t+1} \int_{\Omega} a(x)u'g(u')dxds = E(t) - E(t+1) \equiv D(t)^2$$

From this we obtain

$$\int_t^{t+1} |u'(s)|^2 ds \leq CD(t)^2 \quad (3.43)$$

By the Mean Value theorem there exist two points  $t_1 \in \left[ t, t + \frac{1}{4} \right]$  and  $t_2 \in \left[ t + \frac{3}{4}, t + 1 \right]$  such that

$$|u'(t_i)|^2 \leq CD(t)^2, \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{3.44}$$

thus, multiplying of the first equation in (P) by  $u$  and integrating it over  $\Omega \times ]t_1, t_2[$ , we have from (3.42) - (3.44) and Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\nabla u(s)|^4 ds &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |u'(s)|^2 ds - (u'(t_1), u(t_1)) + (u'(t_2), u(t_2)) \\ &\quad - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (a(x)g(u'), u) ds \\ &\leq C(D(t)^2 + D(t)E(t)^{1/4}) \equiv A(t)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

From (3.43) and (3.45) we conclude that

$$E(t_2) \leq \frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} E(s) ds \leq CA(t)^2$$

there fore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= E(t_2) + 2 \int_t^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} a(x)g(u')u' dx ds \\ &\leq CA(t) \leq C\{D(t)^2 + D(t)E(t)^{1/4}\} \end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality, noting that  $D(t)^2 \leq E(t) \leq E(0)$  we get

$$\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} E(s)^{3/2} \leq CD(t)^2 = C(E(t) - E(t+1))$$

Hence, lemma 2.2 gives

$$E(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-2}, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

this ends the proof of theorem. □

## 5. REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aassila, *Global Existence of Solutions to degenerate wave equations with dissipative terms*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 60 (1999), p. 1 - 10.
- [2] E. Cabanillas, *Existencia Global y Comportamiento Asintótico para una ecuación de Kirchoff con término disipativo de Coeficiente Variable*, Pesquimat, Revista de Investigación de la FCM - UNMSM Vol.III, N°2 (2000) p.44 - 50. LIMA - PERU.
- [3] L. A. Medeiros, M. Milla Miranda, *Espaços de Sobolev - Iniciação aos Problemas elípticos não Homogêneos*, Instituto de Matemática, UFRJ, Rio de Janeiro, First edition, 1997.
- [4] T. Mizumachi, *Time decay of solutions to degenerate Kirchoff type equation*, preprint (1997).
- [5] K. Mochizuki, *Global existence and energy decay of small solutions to the Kirchoff equation with linear dissipation localized near infinity*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. (JMKYAZ) 39 - 2 (1999) p. 347 -363.
- [6] M. Nakao, *A Difference Inequality and its application to nonlinear evolution equations*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 30 (1978) p. 747 - 762.
- [7] K. Ono, *On Global existence and Asymptotic stability of solutions of mildly degenerate dissipative wave equations of Kirchoff Type*, Asymptotic Analysis 16 (1998) p. 299 - 314.
- [8] S. K. Patcheu, *Global Existence and Exponential decay estimatives for a damped quasilinear equation*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. 22 (11 & 12) (1997) p. 2007 - 2024.